



Occurrence of sugarcane yellow leaf virus in southern Punjab

Abdul khaliq¹, Muhammad Abdul shakoor², Muhammad Yasin³, Naeem Ahmad⁴

¹ Scientific Officer, Department of Sugarcane research institute Faisalabad, Pakistan

^{2,3} Senior Scientific Officer, Department of Sugarcane research institute Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁴ Director, Department of Sugarcane research institute Faisalabad, Pakistan

Abstract

Sugarcane Yellow Leaf disease was first recognized as Yellow Leaf Syndrome during the 1980s in Hawaii. Later it was reportedly associated with yield losses of 25% or more in the cultivar SP 71-6163 in Brazil. In Pakistan occurrence of the disease was neglected up to a specific period of time by agricultural extension worker and growers due to low level of losses. Secondly the disease was attributed to nitrogen deficiency factor commonly as indicated by agricultural extension workers. However when disease spread in many parts of the south Punjab beyond the economic threshold level, research workers chalked out a program for the comprehensive survey. The data was recorded on basis of total number of leaf showing symptoms on individual clone as well in the whole field. Visual observation was taken into consideration for the assessment percentage of the disease in the most places, where the disease occurs in patches. The disease has been noted in the central Punjab also. It is concluded that losses due to disease ranged from 10% to 75%

Keywords: disease, rust, observation, losses, sugarcane

Introduction

Sugarcane is grown as a major crop in Pakistan. Sugar is the main product in the sugar mills of Pakistan derived mostly from sugarcane. The fungal diseases are reported to attack on the crop. However a mysterious disease was noted by farmers from last few years in the various regions of south Punjab. Sugarcane Yellow Leaf disease was first recognized as Yellow Leaf Syndrome during the 1980s in Hawaii. Later it was reportedly associated with yield losses of 25% or more in the cultivar SP 71-6163 in Brazil. (Comstock, 2013) [3]. Anyhow, Ewald, *et al.*, 2010 tested the volunteer sugarcane plants from closed Hawaiian plantations and from previous sites of the Hawaiian Sugarcane Planters' Association breeding and suggested that SCYLV appeared in the breeding station between 1960 and 1970, whereas the plantations became infested after 1980. Later on similar symptoms were reported from mainland of United States. (Comstock *et al.*, 1994) [4]. With the passage of time the disease spread very rapidly in the other countries of the world.

Vega *et al.*, 1997 reported the disease from Brazil, Moutia and Sauntally(1999), noted SCYL from Mauritius. Baily *et al.*, 1996, Victoria *et al.*, 1998, Lockhart and Cronje 2000 reported from many countries of the world.

The exact time of SCYLV penetration and introduction into the plantation is unknown, because the infection mostly remains symptomless and unnoticed during early stages. Symptoms of SCYLV are a yellowing of the leaf midrib on the underside of the leaf. The attack of the disease starts from September-October to harvesting of the crop until end of March. The symptoms are more prominent on mature cane.

Symptoms

The yellowing appears on the leaves. It expands out from the leaf midrib into the leaf blade during the growth development stages of the plant. Fig.1. Then the tip of the leaf turned yellow with necrotic tissues. Fig.2.



Fig 1: Yellowing is expanding from midrib to margins of the leaves.



Fig 2: Tips of the leaves showing necrotic symptoms.



Fig 3: Whole of the field is infected.

As the disease progresses a general yellowing of the leaves can be observed from a distance. In most of the fields the disease appears in patches. (Figure 2a). However completely yellowing of the whole field has been noted. Fig-2b. The yellowing first appears on leaves 3 to 6 counting down from the top expanding spindle leaf. Eventually, almost all leaves of the plant turn yellowish. Initially the yellowing start from the midrib on leaves 3 to 6 counting down from the top expanding spindle leaf (Figure 3). It has been observed that cold and nutrient deficiency promotes the symptoms rapidly. Other characteristic symptoms of the disease are accumulation of sucrose in the leaf and shorting of terminal internodes resulting a fan like appearance. Water stress, biotic and other abiotic factors expedite the disease appearance. Initially the young leaves are more affected by showing the yellowing symptoms. Crops cultivated in both seasons (September sowing and Feb. sowing) show the yellowing symptoms.



Fig 4: Yellowing of the leaves expanding from midrib to outward in spindle leaves in a whorl.

Material and Method

A compressive survey was conducted in southern Punjab from 1st week of September 2011 to end of March 2012 with possible, reasonable and convenient interval of time in the various sugarcane growing areas of south Punjab. The complaints submitted by sugarcane growers indicated the apprehension of fungal disease. Therefore a comprehensive survey was conducted by the research workers of Regional Agricultural Research Institute Bahawalpur in the leadership of plant pathologist. Sugarcane samples were collected from Bahawalpur, Multan and Dera Ghazi Khan Divisions. These samples were analyzed in the plant pathology laboratory of the institute. The samples were brought into Plant Pathology section Regional Agricultural Research Institute Bahawalpur. Later on these samples were taken into the plant pathological lab. Sugarcane Research Institute Faisalabad.

Results and Discussion

Expertise services rendered by sugarcane virologist provided the strong and confirmatory evidences of sugarcane yellow leaf syndrome caused by virus. Sugarcane Yellow Leaf virus (SCYLV) belongs to the genus polerovirus of family Luteoviridae (D. Arcy 2005) [1].

Losses in the different countries varied in different sugarcane plants. J. C. Comstock *et al.*, (2001), stated that in United States incidence of the disease ranged among the five *Saccharum* spp., 7.0% in *S. spontaneum*, 75.8% in *S. officinarum*, 62.5% in *S. Robustum*, 46.2% in *S. sinense*, and 13.6 % in *S. barberi*. respectively. *S. spontaneum* as a group was found to be most resistant and *S. officinarum* was the most susceptible. In Brazil yield losses in SP71-6163 has been noted upto 20%. Various studies has shown that SCYLV is a quite varies (Abu Ahmad *et al.*, 2006a) [1], (Moon and mirkov 2002) [7].

In Pakistan occurrence of the disease was neglected up to a specific period of time by agricultural extension worker and growers due to low level of losses. Secondly the disease was attributed to nitrogen deficiency factor commonly as indicated by agricultural extension workers. However when disease spread in many parts of the south Punjab beyond the economic threshold level, research workers chalked out a program for the comprehensive survey by the order of higher authorities. The data was recorded on basis of total number of leaf showing symptoms on individual clone as well in the whole field. Visual observation was taken into consideration for the assessment percentage of the disease in the most places, where the disease occurs in patches. The disease has been noted in the central Punjab also. From the table, 1 it is clear that losses due to disease ranged from 10% to 75%.

Conclusion

It is concluded from the study that the disease was attributed to nitrogen deficiency factor and results in losses ranged from 10% to 75%.

Table 1: Sugarcane yellow leaf virus data from south Punjab recorded from 12-10-2013 to 24-2-2014

Sr. No	Growers, name	Address	Name of variety	% loses
1	Muhammad Arif Ansari	Mauza Habib Missan Teh. Ahmadpur	Unknown	45
2	Akhtar Khan	Mauza Channigoath Teh. Liaquatpur	S2006-us.658	40
3	Jam Mushtaq Ahmad	Addah Zafarabad near Dera Jam Mushtaq Teh. Liaquatpur	CPF-246	65

4	Amjad Khan	ChaukZahir peer Teh. Khanpur	HSF-242	24
5	Muhammad AsadBaloch	MianwaliQuarashianTeh. And Distt. R. Y. Khan	SPF-234	45
6	Malik HabeebullahBhutta	Chak No.149 Tehsil Sadiqabad	SPF-234	75
7	Malik Tahir akhokhar	MauzaBalala district Multan	CPF-246	35
8	Dr. Khalid Khokhar	PulBalala Farm district Multan	NARC-1	30
9	Dr. M. Ajmal	MauzaKasbaMarhal District Multan	S-2007-AUS-384	40
10	Rana Jamil	Thadathaheem district Lodhran	unknown	25
11	M. Iqbal	Mauzajudywala district Ludhran	YTTA-55	65
12	Allah Ditta	MauzaChaukimosti district Lodhran	HSF-242	35
13	Muhammad Rafiq	Dairahdeenpanah district Muzaffargarh	SPF-234	45
14	Khizirhayat khan	Khairpursadat Tehsil AlipurDistrict Muzaffargarh	S-2007-AUS-384	35
15	Abdul Rauf	Mauza Belay wala tehsil Jattoi District Muzaffargarh	CSSG-33	15
16	Muhammad Akram Khan	YarruBatil district Dera ghazi Khan	unknown	35
17	Muhammad Hussain	MauzaDajal Tehsil Jampur District Dera ghazi Khan	SPF-234	45
18	Director Ranazulufqar Ali khan	Experimental area Sugarcane Res. Instt. Faisalabad	YTTA-55	75
			CPSG-33	50
			HOSG-31	25
			CPSG-24	20
			NARC-1	25
			CSSG-33	10
			NARC-1	10
			CPF-246	30
S-2007-AUS-384	40			

References

1. Abu Ahmad YL, *et al.* Yellow leaf of sugarcane is caused by at least three different genotypes of sugarcane yellow leaf virus, one of which predominates on the Island of Réunion. *Archives of Virology*. 2006a; 151:1355–1371.CrossRef
2. Bailey RA, Bechet GR, Cronje CPR. Notes on the occurrence of yellow leaf syndrome of sugarcane in southern Africa. *South African Sugar Technology Association Proceedings*. 2007; 70:3–6.
3. Comstock JC, Irvine JE, Miller JD. Yellow leaf syndrome appears on the United States mainland. *Sugar Journal*. 1994; 56:33–35.
4. Comstock JC, *et al.* Sugarcane Yellow Leaf disease. Publication #SS-AGR-256. SS-AGR-256, one of a series of the Agronomy Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, 2013.
5. D'Arcy CJ, LL Domier. Luteoviridae. In *Virus taxonomy. VIIIth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*, eds. C.M. Fauquet, M.A. Mayo, J. Maniloff, U. Desselberger, L.A. Ball, 891–900. New York, USA: Elsevier Academic Press, 2005.
6. Ewald KA, *et al.* Sugar cane yellow leaf virus introduction and spread in Hawaiian sugarcane industry: Retrospective epidemiological study of an unnoticed mostly asymptomatic plant disease. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*. 2010; 127(2):1207-217
7. JC Comstock, *et al.* *Sugar Tech*. 2011; 3(4):128-133
8. Lockhart BEL, Cronjé CPR. Yellow leaf syndrome. In P. Rott, R. A. Bailey, J. C. Comstock, B. J. Croft, & A. S. Saumtally (Eds.), *A guide to sugarcane diseases* (pp. 291–295). Montpellier: La Librairie du Cirad, 2000
9. Moonan F, TE Mirkov. Analyses of genetic diversity among North, South and Central isolates of *Sugarcane yellow leaf virus*: Evidence of Colombian origins and for intraspecific spatial phylogenetic variation. *Journal of Virology*. 2003; 76(3):1339–1348.
10. Moutia JFY, Saumtally S. Symptomology of yellow leaf syndrome and detection and distribution of sugarcane yellow leaf virus in Mauritius. *Proceedings of the International Society of Sugar Cane Technologists*. 1999; 23:355–364.
11. Vega J, *et al.* Sugarcane yellow leaf disease in Brazil: evidence of association with a luteovirus. *Plant Disease*. 1997; 81:21–26.
12. Victoria JI, *et al.* Síndrome de la hojaamarilla en Colombia ScYLV (Sugarcane yellow leaf virus). *Carta Trimestral Cenicana (Colombia)*. 1998; 20:3–7.